



Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 026590-006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)	
Paul Zavidniak)	Group Art Unit: 2683
Application No.: 09/833,634)	Examiner: BRANDON J MILLER
Filed: April 13, 2001)	Confirmation No.: 3065
For: METHODOLOGY FOR THE)	
DETECTION OF INTRUSION INTO)	
RADIO FREQUENCY (RF) BASED)	
NETWORKS INCLUDING)	
TACTICAL DATA LINKS AND THE)	
TACTICAL INTERNET)	

RECEIVED

AUG 06 2004

Technology Center 2600

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated February 3, 2004, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the claims.

Claims 1-3, 10 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103, on the grounds that they were not considered to be patentable over the Baumann patent (US 6,104,922) in view of the Vaidya patent (US 6,279,113). The remaining claims were rejected as being unpatentable over these two references, in further view of the Hopkins patent publication (GB2333672A). It is respectfully submitted that it would not be obvious to combine the teachings of the Baumann and Vaidya patents, in the manner suggested in the Office Action. Furthermore, even if their teachings could somehow be combined, the result would still not suggest all of the elements recited in the claims.

The Baumann patent is directed to the authentication of a subscriber unit, such as a cellular phone, and a user in a communications system. The background portion of the patent